## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ΛN,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 05-0844
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Judge Lancaster
HART,	)	Magistrate Judge Lenihan
ocial Security,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	v. HART, ocial Security,	Plaintiff, ) v. ) HART, ) ocial Security, )

## **MEMORANDUM ORDER**

Plaintiff's Complaint was received by the Clerk of Court on June 20, 2005 and was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Lisa Pupo Lenihan in accordance with the Magistrate's Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and Rules 72.1.3 and 72.1.4 of the Local Rules for Magistrates.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 14) filed on May 26, 2006, recommended that the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff be denied and the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendant be granted. Service was made on all counsel of record. The parties were informed that in accordance with the Magistrates Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C), and Rule 72.1.4(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrates, that they had ten (10) days to file any objections. No objections have been filed. After review of the pleadings and the documents in the case, together with the Report and Recommendation, the following Order is entered:

AND NOW, this  $\frac{19^{-7}}{100}$  day of  $\frac{100}{100}$ , 2006;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary

Judgment is denied and Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is granted.

## IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation

(Doc. No. 14) of Magistrate Judge Lenihan, dated May 26, 2006, is adopted as the Opinion of the Court.

Gary L. Lancaster

United States District Judge

cc: Lisa Pupo Lenihan United States Magistrate Judge

> Karl E. Osterhout 1789 South Braddock Avenue Suite 570 Pittsburgh, PA 15218

Paul Kovac United States Attorneys Office 700 Grant Street Suite 4000 Pittsburgh, PA 15219